

# A retrospective, multicenter study on the efficacy, durability, and tolerability of bictegravir/ emtricitabine/tenofovir alafenamide for the treatment of HIV in a real-world setting in Belgium

Rakan Nasreddine, MD 10th BREACH Symposium November 23, 2022



## Disclosures

• This study was supported by a grant from Gilead International.



# Background

Bictegravir combined with emtricitabine and tenofovir alafenamide versus dolutegravir, abacavir, and lamivudine for initial treatment of HIV-1 infection: week 96 results from a randomised, double-blind, multicentre, phase 3, non-inferiority trial

David A Wohl, Yazdan Yazdanpanah, Axel Baumgarten, Amanda Clarke, Melanie A Thompson, Cynthia Brinson, Debbie Hagins, Moti N Ramgopal, Andrea Antinori, Xuelian Wei, Rima Acosta, Sean E Collins, Diana Brainard, Hal Martin

Co-formulated bictegravir, emtricitabine, and tenofovir alafenamide versus dolutegravir with emtricitabine and tenofovir alafenamide for initial treatment of HIV-1 infection: week 96 results from a randomised, double-blind, multicentre, phase 3, non-inferiority trial

Hans-Jürgen Stellbrink, José R Arribas, Jeffrey L Stephens, Helmut Albrecht, Paul E Sax, Franco Maggiolo, Catherine Creticos, Claudia T Martorell, Xuelian Wei, Rima Acosta, Sean E Collins, Diana Brainard, Hal Martin

Coformulated bictegravir, emtricitabine, and tenofovir alafenamide versus dolutegravir with emtricitabine and tenofovir alafenamide, for initial treatment of HIV-1 infection (GS-US-380–1490): a randomised, double-blind, multicentre, phase 3, non-inferiority trial

Paul E Sax, Anton Pozniak, M Luisa Montes, Ellen Koenig, Edwin DeJesus, Hans-Jürgen Stellbrink, Andrea Antinori, Kimberly Workowski, Jihad Slim, Jacques Reynes, Will Garner, Joseph Custodio, Kirsten White, Devi SenGupta, Andrew Cheng, Erin Quirk

Bictegravir, emtricitabine, and tenofovir alafenamide versus dolutegravir, abacavir, and lamivudine for initial treatment of HIV-1 infection (GS-US-380-1489): a double-blind, multicentre, phase 3, randomised controlled non-inferiority trial

Joel Gallant, Adriano Lazzarin, Anthony Mills, Chloe Orkin, Daniel Podzamczer, Pablo Tebas, Pierre-Marie Girard, Indira Brar, Eric S Daar, David Wohl, Jürgen Rockstroh, Xuelian Wei, Joseph Custodio, Kirsten White, Hal Martin, Andrew Cheng, Erin Quirk

Efficacy and safety of switching to fixed-dose bictegravir, emtricitabine, and tenofovir alafenamide from boosted protease inhibitor-based regimens in virologically suppressed adults with HIV-1: 48 week results of a randomised, open-label, multicentre, phase 3, non-inferiority trial

Eric S Daar, Edwin DeJesus, Peter Ruane, Gordon Crofoot, Godson Oguchi, Catherine Creticos, Jürgen K Rockstroh, Jean-Michel Molina, Ellen Koenig, Ya-Pei Liu, Joseph Custodio, Kristen Andreatta, Hiba Graham, Andrew Cheng, Hal Martin, Erin Quirk

Switching to fixed-dose bictegravir, emtricitabine, and tenofovir alafenamide from dolutegravir plus abacavir and lamivudine in virologically suppressed adults with HIV-1: 48 week results of a randomised, double-blind, multicentre, active-controlled, phase 3, non-inferiority trial

Jean-Michel Molina, Douglas Ward, Indira Brar, Anthony Mills, Hans-Jürgen Stellbrink, Luis López-Cortés, Peter Ruane, Daniel Podzamczer, Cynthia Brinson, Joseph Custodio, Hui Liu, Kristen Andreatta, Hal Martin, Andrew Cheng, Erin Quirk



- However, patients in RCTs are usually carefully selected which can sometimes lead to certain groups of patients being underrepresented such as women and people with diverse ethnic/racial backgrounds
  - Furthermore, participants in the clinical trial setting tend to exhibit higher levels of treatment adherence as compared to the real-world
- Real-world studies provide therefore, complementary information to the data obtained from RCTs and ensure that results from clinical trials can be generalized to broader populations seen in daily clinical practice
- The aim of this study was to describe the baseline characteristics of PLWH in Belgium being treated by BIC/FTC/TAF and to evaluate its' efficacy, durability, and tolerability in a real-world setting



## Methodology

- Study design and population
  - This was an observational, retrospective, multicenter study (11 HIV reference centers)
  - Inclusion criteria were: (i) treatment-naïve and experienced adult (aged ≥18 years) PLWH that received at least 1 dose of BIC/FTC/TAF between January 1, 2019, which corresponds to the date that BIC/FTC/TAF was approved for use in Belgium, and September 30, 2020
  - If a patient had received BIC/FTC/TAF on multiple or separate occasions, only data from the first occurrence was included



- Study variables
  - Patient characteristics such age, gender, ethnicity/race, weight, and co-morbidities
  - HIV-related characteristics such as HIV treatment status at baseline, total time on cART and number of cART regimens prior to baseline, last cART regimen prior to baseline, reasons for BIC/FTC/TAF initiation, antiretroviral (ARV) resistance profile using the Stanford HIV Drug Resistance Database, version 9.0 (an ARV was considered resistant when substitutions conferring low level, intermediate-level, or high-level resistance were present), CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> cell counts, HIV-1 VL, and discontinuation of treatment
  - Non-HIV-related laboratory characteristics such as current hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV) co-infection, lipid panel, and plasma glucose



## • Study outcomes

- The primary outcome of this study was effectiveness of BIC/FTC/TAF, measured by the proportion of participants with a plasma HIV-1 VL <50 copies/ml at weeks 24 and 48 using an on-treatment analysis (on-treatment analysis)
- Secondary outcomes included:
  - Proportion of patients that experienced protocol-defined loss of virologic suppression by week 48 (defined as 2 consecutive HIV-1 VL measurements of >200 copies/mL in individuals who had initially achieved virologic suppression) along with an analysis of resistance-associated mutations (RAMs) at the time of loss of virologic control
  - Proportion of patients that experienced a viral blip at any time up to week 48 (defined as an HIV-1 VL measurement between 50 200 copies/mL after having initially achieved virologic suppression)
  - Safety and tolerability of BIC/FTC/TAF as assessed by the rate, incidence, reasons, and time to
    discontinuation of treatment over the 48-week study period
  - Change in weight along with the proportion of patients reporting a 5-10% and >10% weight gain at week 48
  - Change in CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count and CD4<sup>+</sup>/CD8<sup>+</sup> ratio at week 48
  - Change in lipid and glycemic parameters at week 48



Baseline

characteristics

of the study

population

#### **Overall** (N = 2001)

	<b>Overall</b> $(N = 2001)$		<b>Overall</b> $(N = 200)$
Age (years), n (%)		Total time on cART prior to baseline (years)	
<50	1184 (59.2)	Median (IQR)	6 (0.9 – 12.3)
≥50	817 (40.8)	Number of cART regimens prior to baseline	
Gender, n (%)		Median (IQR)	2 (1 – 4)
Male	1299 (64.9)	ARV resistance data available, n (%)	387 (19.3)
Female	702 (35.1)	EFV resistance	53 (13.7)
Ethnicity, n (%)		3TC/FTC resistance	39 (10 1)
Caucasian	1145 (57.2)		37 (9.6)
Black Sub-Saharan African	646 (32.3)	BDV resistance	24 (9.9)
Other	146 (7.3)	NFV TESISIAILE	54 (6.8)
Unknown	64 (3.2)	DRV resistance	24 (6.2)
Weight (kg)		TDF resistance	18 (4.7)

• The most frequent reasons for starting BIC/FTC/TAF were treatment simplification/decrease pill burden (61.1%) and treatment initiation for a naïve patient (20.4%)

	Data not available	470 (23.5)
	Co-infections	
	HBV co-infection	93 (4.7)
	HCV co-infection	82 (4.1)
IOD interguartile range, UDV hanotitic	Data not available	617 (30.8)
B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus;	HIV acquisition, n (%)	
MSM, men who have sex with men;	MSM	884 (44.2)
INSTI, integrase strand transfer inhibitor; cART, combined antiretroviral therapy; ARV, antiretroviral; EFV, efavirenz;	Heterosexual	866 (43.3)
	Other	80 (4)
	Unknown	171 (8.5)
3TC, lamivudine; FTC, emtricitabine;	HIV treatment status, n (%)	
ABC, abacavir; RPV, rilpivirine; DRV, darunavir: TDE, tenofovir	Treatment-naïve	408 (20.4)
disoproxil fumarate; EVG, elvitegravir;	Treatment-experienced	1593 (79.6)
RAL, raltegravir; C, cobicistat;	INSTI-experienced	1108 (55.4)
IAF, tenofovir alafenamide; DTG, dolutegravir.		

DTG + FTC/TDF	59 (2 9)
HIV-1 viral load (copies/mL), n (%)	
<50	1363 (68.1)
≥50	638 (31.9)
CD4 <sup>+</sup> T-cell count (cells/μL)	
Median (IQR)	567 (367 – 793)
CD4 <sup>+</sup> T-cell count (cells/μL), n (%)	
<350	418 (21)
350 – 499	327 (16.3)
≥500	1063 (53.1)
Data not available	193 (9.6)
CD4 <sup>+</sup> /CD8 <sup>+</sup> ratio	
Median (IQR)	0.7 (0.4 – 1.1)
Data not available, n (%)	741 (37)



### Rates of virologic suppression at weeks 24 and 48

N, number of participants on treatment, in follow-up, and with available data; MSM, men who have sex with men; INSTI, integrase strand transfer inhibitor; cART, combined antiretroviral therapy; EFV, efavirenz; 3TC, lamivudine; FTC, emtricitabine; ABC, abacavir; RPV, rilpivirine; DRV, darunavir; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; EVG, elvitegravir; RAL, raltegravir; TAF, tenofovir alafenamide; DTG, dolutegravir.

		Week 24		Week 48		
	N	Rate of virologic suppression (%)	Ν	Rate of virologic suppression (%)		
All participants	1611	92	1361	93.5		
Age (years)						
<50	939	91.7	785	94		
≥50	672	92.6	576	92.7		
Gender						
Male	1061	91.6	885	93.8		
Female	550	92.9	476	92.8		
MSM	725	94.3	<u>62</u> 4	94.7		
Black Sub-Saharan African	496	89.5	411	90.8		
HIV treatment status						
Naïve	363	87.5	300	94		
Experienced	1248	93.4	1061	93.2		
INSTI experienced	918	93.8	734	93.9		
Total time on cART prior to baseline (years)						
≤6	1091	91.4	913	93.5		
>6	520	93.5	448	93.3		
Number of cART regimens prior to baseline						
≤2	693	91.6	566	93.9		
>2	918	93.3	795	93.3		
Regimen prior to baseline						
ABC-containing	195	92.8	153	92.2		
TDF-containing	249	88.8	229	91.7		
TAF-containing	791	94.6	638	94.5		
RPV-containing	63	93.7	60	92.8		
EFV-containing	92	89.1	74	89.2		
DTG containing	431	92.6	358	93.6		
Baseline HIV-1 viral load (copies/mL)						
<50	1098	97.2	921	96.7		
≥50	513	81.1	440	86.6		
Baseline CD4⁺ count (cells/µL)						
<350	379	81.5	308	86.8		
350 – 499	264	93.6	222	94.6		
2500	968	95.3	831	95.7		



### Characteristics of the 14 participants with loss of virologic suppression by week 48

	Ρ	Age	Gender/	cART directly	RAMs prior to	VL at	Number of	Time to	VL at time of	RAMs at LVS
			Ethnicity	prior to	baseline	baseline	viral blips	LVS	LVS	
				baseline		(copies/mL)	prior to LVS,	(weeks)	(copies/mL)	
							(copies/mL)			
	1	51	M/C	DRV/c/FTC/TAF	NDA	101	Once, 168	36	218	NDA <sup>a</sup>
	2	34	F/SSA	FTC/TAF + NVP	NDA	<50	None	48	397	NRTI: 67N,
										70R, 184V
	3	27	M/SSA	DTG/ABC/3TC	NDA	15300	None	48	1188	None
	4	22	M/SSA	Naïve	None	339300	None	48	588000	NDA
	5	33	F/SSA	DRV/c/FTC/TAF	None	1080	None	48	21000	NDA
	6	46	F/C	DTG/ABC/3TC	NDA	12600	None	16	418	None
	7	45	M/SSA	DRV/c/FTC/TAF	None	<50	None	27	385	NDA
	8	55	F/SSA	ABC/3TC + DRV/r	NRTI: 184V	<50	None	32	578	NDA
					INSTI: 66A, 92G					
	9	51	M/SSA	Naïve	None	5152	None	17	2154	None
	10	55	M/SSA	DTG + FTC/TAF	None	<50	Once, 77	37	457	None
	11	31	M/C	Naïve	None	30233	None	42	11130	NDA
ніл	12	52	M/C	DTG + FTC/TAF	None	<50	None	48	22700	None
	13	34	M/SSA	DRV/c/FTC/TAF	None	<50	None	48	89200	None
subtype 🔶	14	42	M/SSA	Naïve	None	932	Once, 182	48	836	NRTI: 184V
CRF06-cpx										INSTI: 263KR

P, patient; cART, combined antiretroviral therapy; RAMs, resistance-associated mutations; VL, viral load; LVS, loss of virologic suppression; M, male; C, Caucasian; DRV/c, darunavir/ cobicistat; FTC/TAF, emtricitabine/ tenofovir alafenamide; NDA, no data available; F, female; SSA, black Sub-Saharan African; NVP, nevirapine; NRTI, nucleoside/nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitor; DTG, dolutegravir; ABC/3TC, abacavir/lamivudine; DRV/r, darunavir/ritonavir; INSTI, integrase strand transfer inhibitor.

<sup>a</sup>Viral load was below the required minimum to accurately perform resistance testing.





Poster #125

## Development of Integrase Inhibitor Resistance Under Firstline Treatment With Bictegravir

P. Braun<sup>1</sup>, F. Wiesmann<sup>1</sup>, G. Naeth<sup>1</sup>, H. Knechten<sup>1</sup>, M. Stoll<sup>2</sup>



### Identification of a treatment-emergent integrase resistance mutation in an HIV late-presenter on first-line therapy

V. Dauny<sup>1</sup>, E. Gras<sup>1</sup>, L. Levi<sup>1</sup>, I. Devred<sup>1</sup>, C. Poignon<sup>2</sup>, S. Lambert-Niclot<sup>2</sup>, E. Bourgogne<sup>3</sup>, J. Pacanowski<sup>1</sup>, J.-L. Meynard<sup>1</sup>, K.

18th EUROPEAN AIDS CONFERENCE October 27–30, 2021 Online & London, United Kingdom

Braun et al. HIV Drug Therapy 2022. Poster 125. Dauny et al. EACS 2021. Poster 209. Chamberlain et al. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2021. Case Report: Emergent Resistance in a Treatment-Naive Person With Human Immunodeficiency Virus Under Bictegravir-Based Therapy

#### Nicholas Chamberlain,<sup>1</sup>Leandro Mena,<sup>2</sup> and James Benjamin Brock<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, Mississippi, USA, and <sup>2</sup>Department of Population Health Science, University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, Mississippi, USA



### Reasons, rate, and time to treatment discontinuation over the 48 week study period

131 (6.5)	7.4 discontinuations
	per 100 patient-years
8 (0.4)	
8 (0.4)	
32 (1.6)	
26 (1.3)	
	32 (1.6) 26 (1.3)

• The most frequent cART regimens prescribed after treatment discontinuation were dolutegravir/lamivudine (DTG/3TC; 40.5%) and doravirine/lamivudine/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (DOR/3TC/TDF; 25.2%)

Time to discontinuation due to an adverse event (weeks)	
Median (IQR)	22.5 (4.7 – 35.3)
Time to discontinuation due to neuropsychiatric toxicity (weeks)	
Median (IQR)	18.1 (4.3 – 26.6)
CNS control porvous system: IOP inter quartile range	

CNS, Central nervous system; IQR, Inter-quartile range

Regression analysis did reveal that CNS/psychiatric toxicity resulting in the discontinuation of a previous cART regimen was significantly associated with discontinuation of BIC/FTC/TAF due to CNS/psychiatric toxicity (odds ratio [OR] 4.64; 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.24 – 17.38, p = 0.03)



Change in weight at week 48 along with the proportion of patients experiencing 5-10% and >10% increase in weight from baseline

N, number of participants on treatment, in follow-up, and with available data; IQR, inter-quartile range; MSM, men who have sex with men; SSA, black Sub-Saharan African; INSTI, integrase strand transfer inhibitor; cART, combined antiretroviral therapy; ABC, abacavir; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; TAF, tenofovir alafenamide; RPV, rilpivirine; EFV, efavirenz; DTG, dolutegravir; VL, viral load.

	Ν	Median change in weight (kg) from baseline (IQR)	5-10% increase in weight from baseline (%)	>10% increase in weight from baseline (%)
All patients	741	2 (-1 – 5)	19.2	11.6
Age (years)				
<50	435	2 (0 – 5)	20	13.6
≥50	306	1 (-1-4)	18	8.8
Gender				
Male	487	1 (-1 – 3)	17.9	12.2

 Logistic regression analysis using baseline variables did not show age >50 years (p = 0.16), female gender (p = 0.48), or being of SSA origin (p = 0.78) to be associated with a >10% increase in weight

However, regression analysis revealed that being on a TDF-based regimen prior to BIC/FTC/TAF initiation (odds ratio [OR] 2.29; 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.31 – 4, p = 0.006) and having a baseline CD4<sup>+</sup> count <350 cells/μL (OR 6.12; 95% CI 3.48 – 10.77,</li>

p < 0.001) were associated with a >10% weight gain

EFV-containing	36	3 (0 – 7)	21.9	13.6
DTG-containing	197	1 (-1 - 4)	16.8	9.6
Baseline HIV-1 VL (copies/mL)				
<50	511	1 (-1 - 4)	17.6	6.5
≥50	230	4 (1 – 8)	22.6	23
B <mark>aseline CD4<sup>+</sup> count (cells/μL)</mark>				
<350	215	4 (1 9)	26.1	24.8
350 – 499	126	2 (0 – 5)	24.6	7.1
≥500	400	1 (-1 – 3)	13.2	7.6



Ν	Laboratory parameter	Baseline	Change from baseline, median (IQR)	P-value
1303	CD4 <sup>+</sup> T-cell count (cells/µL)	567 (367 – 793)	50 (-50 – 172)	0.57
898	CD4 <sup>+</sup> /CD8 <sup>+</sup> ratio	0.7 (0.4 – 1.1)	0.1 (0 – 0.2)	0.17
805	Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	181 (154 – 208)	2 (-14 – 20)	0.87
769	HDL (mg/dL)	48 (39 – 60)	0 (-6 – 6)	0.69
729	LDL (mg/dL)	104 (82 – 126)	1 (-13 – 12)	0.30
801	Triglycerides (mg/dL)	110 (75 – 161)	-1 (-35 – 30)	0.97
730 N, number of p	FPG (mg/dL)	93 (85 – 102) able data; IQR, inter-quartile range	2 (-8 — 11) e; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-densi	0.10

## Change from baseline of laboratory parameters at week 48

FPG, fasting plasma glucose.



## Conclusion

- The data presented in this real-world study show that BIC/FTC/TAF is highly effective at achieving and maintaining virologic suppression in various patient populations, including women, SSA patients, patients aged >50 years, treatment-naïve patients, and those switching from a previous regimen.
- BIC/FTC/TAF was shown to have a high genetic barrier to resistance with rare occurrence of emergent drug resistance.
- Treatment was well tolerated with infrequent discontinuations due to AEs, the most common being CNS/psychiatric and gastrointestinal toxicity.
- On-treatment weight gain was minimal and was significantly associated with being on a TDFbased regimen prior to baseline and having a baseline CD4<sup>+</sup> count <350 cells/μL.</li>
- These data support the use of BIC/FTC/TAF in clinical practice, both as a first-line and as a switch treatment option, in a wide variety of PLWH.



## Acknowledgments

• We would like to thank all the collaborators from the centers who participated in this study:



- Mr. Marc Delforge for statistical analysis
- Mrs. Cathy Tordeur for administrative support